



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/516,522

11/30/2004

Armando Annunziato

007515.00001

4483

22907 7590 04/09/2009

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

1100 13th STREET, N.W.

SUITE 1200

WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051

EXAMINER

RAMPURIA, SHARAD K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/09/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/516,522	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANNUNZIATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHARAD RAMPURIA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-11,13 and 15-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-11,13 and 15-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 5-11, 13, 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Moilanen** [US 20030096622] in view of **Camp; William O** [US 6252543 B1].

As per claim 1, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method for locating a mobile terminal (MS, MS2, . . .) within a mobile communication network comprising at least one base station (BTS1, BTS2, . . . BTSn), the method comprising the steps of:

Measuring of a set of physical dimensions that identify, according to respective functions, locating co-ordinates (x, y, z) of said mobile terminal, (Abstract, ¶ 0034-0036) characterized in that it comprises

Generating, starting from said set of physical dimensions and respective functions, a global locating error function ( $\phi$ ), which has a minimum for values of, said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z) corresponding with the position occupied by said mobile terminal, (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0049-0057, and supported by 0012)

Seeking the minimum of said error function ( $\phi$ ) by varying at least one of said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z), and locating said mobile terminal in correspondence with the value of said at least one locating co-ordinate corresponding to said minimum. (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0059, and supported by 0020)

**Moilanen** doesn't teach specifically, the set of physical dimensions comprising any combination of physical dimension selected within the group comprising: signal power received by said mobile terminal starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance, Observed Time Differences, and Time of Arrival. However, **Camp** teaches in an analogous art, that said the set of physical dimensions comprising any combination of physical dimension selected within the group comprising: signal power received by said mobile terminal starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance, Observed Time Differences, and Time of Arrival. (e.g; the signals received by the mobile terminal; Col.7; 57-62, Col.11; 15-19, Col.1; 48-56, Col.2; 63-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to including the set of physical dimensions comprising any combination of physical dimension selected within the group comprising: signal power received by said mobile terminal

Art Unit: 2617

starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance, Observed Time Differences, and Time of Arrival in order to determine the method and arrangements are provided for locating a mobile terminal within a mobile telecommunications system.

As per claim 3, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1 characterised in that the measuring step comprises the step of performing measurements able to identify at least a value of position or distance with determined precision. (e.g.; ¶ 0068)

As per claim 5, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that said global error is defined as the mean square error of the dimensions of said set. (e.g. least square error; Col.7; 24-32)

As per claim 6, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that said global error function ( $\phi$ ) is obtained starting from a plurality of dimensions of said set. (e.g.; ¶ 0036, 0059)

As per claim 7, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1 characterised in that said set comprises one single dimension, so that said global error function ( $\phi$ ) is generated starting from the single dimension of said set. (e.g.; ¶ 0035)

As per claim 8, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that it comprises, to seek said minimum, the execution of an iterative process evaluating of said global error function for different values of said at least one location co-ordinate ( $x_{sub.0}$ ,  $y_{sub.0}$ ,  $z_{sub.0}$  . . . ;  $x_{sub.n}$ ,  $y_{sub.n}$ ,  $z_{sub.n}$ ) corresponding to successive different points of the space covered by said communication network. (e.g.; ¶ 0048, 0059, and supported by 0006)

As per claim 9, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 8, characterised in that it comprises the step of interrupting said iterative process when the absolute distance between two successive points is below a determined threshold value. (e.g.; ¶ 0063)

As per claim 10, **Moilanen** teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that it is applicable in a three-dimensional reference system. (e.g.; ¶ 0059, and supported by 0006)

As per claim 11, **Moilanen** teaches:

System for locating a mobile terminal (MS1, MS2, . . . ) within a mobile communication network comprising at least one base station (BTS1, BTS2, . . . BTSn), the system comprising at least a locating module (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066) configured to measure a set of physical dimensions that identify according to respective functions location co-ordinates (x, y, z) of said

Art Unit: 2617

mobile terminal, (Abstract, ¶ 0034-0036) characterised in that said locating module (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066) is configured to:

Generate, starting from said set of physical dimensions and respective functions, a global locating error function ( $\phi$ ) which allows a minimum for values of said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z) corresponding with the position occupied by said mobile terminal, (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0049-0057, and supported by 0012)

Seek the minimum of said error function ( $\phi$ ) varying at least one of said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z), and locate said mobile terminal in correspondence with the value of said at least one locating co-ordinate (x, y, z) corresponding to said minimum. (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0059, and supported by 0020)

**Moilanen** doesn't teach specifically, the set of physical dimensions comprising any combination of physical dimension selected within the group comprising: signal power received by said mobile terminal starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance, Observed Time Differences, and Time of Arrival. However, **Camp** teaches in an analogous art, that said the set of physical dimensions comprising any combination of physical dimension selected within the group comprising: signal power received by said mobile terminal starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance, Observed Time Differences, and Time of Arrival. (e.g; Col.7; 57-62, Col.11; 15-19, Col.1; 48-56, Col.2; 63-67)

*Claims 13, 15-20* are the, **system** claims, corresponding to **method** claims 3, 5-10 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 3, 5-10 respectively, above.

As per claim 21, **Moilanen** teaches:

System as claimed in any of the claims 11, characterised in that it further comprises a module to allow the exchange of data between said mobile terminal and said at least one base station to identify at least one dimension of said set. (SGSN; ¶ 0037, 0040)

As per claim 22, **Moilanen** teaches:

Mobile terminal configured for use in a system as claimed in any of the claims 11, characterised in that the terminal comprises at least part of said locating module (PCF) integrated in the mobile terminal itself. (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066)

**Claims 23- 24** are the, **computer readable medium**, communication network claims, corresponding to **method** claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Moilanen & Camp** further in view of **Karr, Jr. et al.** [US 20010022558] *hereinafter* **Karr**.

As per claim 25, the above combination teaches all the particulars of the claim except communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile



Art Unit: 2617

terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, . . . ) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals. However, **Karr** teaches in an analogous art, that communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, . . . ) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals. [Please refer to IP; ¶ 0247] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination including communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, . . . ) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals in order to provide a system and method for locating a wireless mobile station using a plurality of simultaneously activated mobile station location estimators.

Claims 26-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Moilanen & Camp** further in view of **Moore et al.** [US 7000015].

As per claim 26, the above combination teaches all the particulars of the claim except communication network as claimed in claim 11 wherein the set of physical dimensions includes altitude over mean sea level. However, **Moore** teaches in an analogous art, that communication network as claimed in claim 11 wherein the set of physical dimensions includes altitude over mean sea level. [e.g. sea level; Col.26; 34-41] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination including communication network as claimed in claim 11 wherein the set of physical dimensions includes altitude over mean sea level in order to provide a system and method for locating a wireless mobile station.

**Claim 27** is the **method** claims, corresponding to communication network claim 26 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 26 respectively, above.

### ***Response to Amendments & Remarks***

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to **combine** the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

Art Unit: 2617

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, **Camp** teaches, “methods and arrangements are provided for locating a mobile terminal within a mobile telecommunications system. In certain embodiments, GPS ranging signals and cellular base station transmitted downlink signals are received by a mobile terminal, which is configured to determine its current location using a combination of these two types of ranging signals. In certain other embodiments, GPS ranging signals are received by the mobile terminal, which is also configured to transmit uplink signals to cellular base stations. The current location of the mobile station is determined by fusing measured data from each of these different ranging signal transmissions. By combining the available resources of satellite and terrestrial locating processes, the potential for locating a mobile terminal is significantly increased” (Please see Abstract, col.2; 16-22), in conclusion, methods and arrangements combine terrestrial-based location techniques with satellite-based location techniques, resulting in improved accuracy, reliability, and accessibility, which is in the same field of endeavor as **Moilanen**. Therefore, one skill in the art would recognize the amalgamation of the above two references is proper.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end

Art Unit: 2617

of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or

[EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov).

/Sharad Rampuria/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2617